

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Tradomark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Burl 1450 Alexandra. Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspin.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/716,868	11/20/2003	Hyun-kwon Chung	1293.1970	5648
49455 STEIN MCEW	7590 02/12/2007 VEN & BUI, LLP		EXAMINER .	
1400 EYE STR			PATEL, MANGLESH M	
SUITE 300 WASHINGTO	N. DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2178	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/716,868	CHUNG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Manglesh M. Patel	2178		

	Manglesh M. Patel	2178					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 30 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ol>	ving replies: (1) an amendment, affitice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply missing	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of thè final rejecti	on.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.7 ension and the corresponding amount thortened statutory period for reply original than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ee appeal. Since				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further composed (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belom (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re	TE below);					
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>	:						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-21.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		ll be entered and an o	explanation of				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidate	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
·							

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Application No.

The proposed amendment reflects a change in scope to the independent claims and raises new issues for the examiner to consider. The image output delay information is included within the applet or markup document whereas before it was just synchronizing this information with the applet or markup document, the language needs to be recosidered in light of the art. Therefore said amendment will not be entered because said amendment would require further search and consideration by the Examiner.

CESAR PAULA
PRIMARY EYAMINER